COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 5466-01 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 1972

Subject: Sewers and Sewer Districts; Fees

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 13, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal requires condominium units to be billed for sewer service

line fees.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 5466-01 Bill No. HB 1972 Page 2 of 5 April 13, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 5466-01 Bill No. HB 1972 Page 3 of 5 April 13, 2012

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from the **City of Columbia** assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their city.

Officials from the **County of St. Louis** assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their county.

Officials from the **Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District** assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their district.

Officials from the Cities of Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Clayton, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Frontenac, Fulton, Gladstone, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Jefferson City, Joplin, Kansas City, Kearney, Kennett, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark, Lebanon, Lee Summit, Liberty, Linn, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Monett, Neosho, O'Fallon, Pacific, Peculiar, Popular Bluff, Raytown, Republic, Richmond, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles, St. Joseph, St. Louis, St. Robert, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring and West Plains did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

Officials from the Counties of Andrew, Barry, Bates, Boone, Buchanan, Butler, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Clay, Cole, Cooper, DeKalb, Franklin, Greene, Hickory, Holt, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lafayette, Lawrence, Lincoln, Marion, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Pemiscot, Perry, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, St. Francois, Taney, Texas, Warren, and Webster did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

Officials from the Boone County Regional Sewer District, Cole County Public Water District #4, Franklin County Water District, Independence Power and Light, Lake of the Ozark Solid Waste Management District, Little Blue Valley Sewer District, Mid Missouri

L.R. No. 5466-01 Bill No. HB 1972 Page 4 of 5 April 13, 2012

ASSUMPTIONS (continued)

Solid Waste Management District, Missouri Association of Sewer Districts, Northwest Missouri Regional Solid Waste Management District, Ozark Rivers Solid Waste Management District, Public Water District 3, Pulaski County Sewer District, Quad Lakes Solid Waste Management District, Region D Recycling & Waste Management District, St Louis Metro Sewer District, St. Charles County Public Water Supply District #2, St. Louis - Jefferson Solid Waste Management, Southwest Missouri Solid Waste District N and Timber Creek Sewer Co Inc did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5466-01 Bill No. HB 1972 Page 5 of 5 April 13, 2012

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Secretary of State Attorney General's Office City of Columbia County of St. Louis Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District

NOT RESPONDING

Numerous Counties Numerous Cities Numerous Water & Sewer Districts

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Director

April 13, 2012